

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/821,533	03/29/2001	Bernard Jan Marie Smeets	34650-00665USPT	4727
23932	7590 07/22/2005		EXAMINER	
JENKENS &	GILCHRIST, PC	•	FIELDS, COURTNEY D	
1445 ROSS A SUITE 3200	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	X 75202		2137	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

17

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/821,533	SMEETS ET AL.	SMEETS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Courtney D. Fields	2137		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application I	No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	<u>40.</u>
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	,
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one following time periods:</li> </ol>	e, which ! 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED W MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as a above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may received patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	e under 37 set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).</li> <li>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered beca (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ol>	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the appeal; and/or	issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (P)	IOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment	cancoling
the non-allowable claim(s).	cancenng
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will be entered and an exp how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	lanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-50</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is not and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	e entered ecessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	o provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	<b>1</b> .
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance	because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
13. M Other: With regards to the Applicant's remarks, the Applicant argues that prior art Osborn et al. does not meet the	<u>claim</u>

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: With regards to the Applicant's remarks, the Applicant argues that prior art Osborn et al. does not meet the claim limitations with respect to claims 1 and 21. Applicant discloses there is no teaching or suggestion of a system including plurality of functions, wherein each function have a corresponding key associated therewith nor teach or suggest a first authentication process using a first key corresponding to a desired function and conducting a second authentication process using a second key generated based on a random challenge made during the first authentication porcess. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and asserts that Osborn et al. does meet the claim limitations with respect to claims 1 and 21. With regards to claim 1, the Examiner asserts that Osborn et al. teaches an apparatus for providing controlled access to a plurality of functions in the apparatus. In Figure 4, Osborn discloses a controller which controls operations of a cellular telephone and the electronic memory of a cellular telephone system which incorporates protection for secure, leveled access to a plurality of functions within the system. The functions of the controller are stored within the IROM and associated with the plurality of functions is a corresponding public encryption key. An authentication code and a boot code are also stored within the IROM. The authentication code is shared among the plurality of functions. (See Column 7, lines 60-67, Column 8, lines 1-64). With regards to claim 21, the Examiner respectfully disagrees and contends that Osborn teaches in Figure 7, a first authentication process is performed using a public encryption key and a generated random challenge 1 (See Column 10, lines 8-30). In Figure 8, the second authentication process is performed using a private key generated based on a random challenge 1 and 2. (See Column 10, lines 30-54).

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 07122005

MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2197